

Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Customer Data Analysis for E-Commerce

Systematic Literature Review (2018–2025)

Zohra Mohamed Said

Lecturer, Department of E-Commerce and Data Analytics, Faculty of Economics and Political Science, University of Tripoli
Email: zohra.said@uot.edu.ly

تاريخ الاستلام: 2026/04/20 تاريخ المراجعة: 2026/05/20 تاريخ القبول: 2026/06/07- تاريخ النشر: 2026/06/22

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) has become a core enabler of customer data analysis in e-commerce, yet existing research remains fragmented across applications, methodologies, and contexts. This study presents a systematic literature review (SLR) of peer-reviewed research published between 2018 and 2025 on AI-based customer data analysis in e-commerce, conducted in accordance with the PRISMA 2020 framework. Following a structured search across Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar and the application of pre-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria, fifteen studies were included in the final synthesis. Thematic analysis identified five interconnected domains of AI application: recommendation systems, sentiment analysis, customer behavior prediction, customer service automation, and privacy and security. Findings indicate a consistent shift from classical statistical methods toward deep learning and transformer-based architectures across all five domains, alongside measurable improvements in personalization, response efficiency, and customer satisfaction. However, the review also identifies significant technical, ethical, and methodological gaps, including limited multilingual and cross-platform support, inconsistent quantitative reporting, and underdeveloped privacy-preserving frameworks. This study contributes an integrated thematic framework and a research agenda comprising seven priority directions, offering practical guidance for e-commerce practitioners and a foundation for future empirical and cross-cultural research.

1. Introduction

The rapid proliferation of e-commerce platforms has fundamentally transformed global retail markets, generating unprecedented volumes of customer data that organizations must analyze to remain competitive. AI technologies, particularly machine learning algorithms and deep learning models, have revolutionized how e-commerce platforms analyze customer data, enabling real-time analysis that provides businesses with up-to-the-minute insights for immediate adjustments in marketing strategies, personalized recommendations, and dynamic pricing models. Artificial Intelligence has become a core driver of innovation in e-commerce, providing new approaches to enhance user experience, optimize resource allocation, and mitigate risk through personalized recommendation systems, supply chain optimization, and fraud detection (Arshad & Naseeb Khan, 2024).

Despite the growing adoption of AI in customer data analytics, applications remain fragmented across different e-commerce contexts with varying levels of effectiveness. Recent systematic reviews reveal that AI technologies, such as behavioural analytics, customer segmentation, and big data mining, significantly improve personalized marketing and customer engagement, while AI-driven personalization and efficient customer support greatly improve customer satisfaction (Endra & Veri, 2025). Furthermore, the use of AI, especially in the form of chatbots,

recommendation systems, and customer analytics, significantly enhances customer satisfaction, operational efficiency, and service personalization, though ethical concerns and data privacy issues remain critical challenges (Endra & Veri, 2025).

However, there remains a need for a systematic synthesis of peer-reviewed research that consolidates findings across different AI applications, methodologies, and geographical contexts, particularly for the period 2018–2025 when AI adoption accelerated dramatically. This systematic literature review addresses this gap by synthesizing peer-reviewed journal and conference studies on AI-based customer data analysis in e-commerce published between 2018 and 2025. Specifically, this study aims to: (1) identify and categorize the primary AI applications in customer data analysis for e-commerce; (2) evaluate the reported effectiveness of different AI technologies; (3) examine methodological approaches used in empirical studies; and (4) identify gaps in the current literature that warrant future research. By providing a comprehensive synthesis of existing evidence, this review offers valuable insights for both academics and practitioners seeking to understand the transformative impact of AI on customer analytics in the e-commerce sector.

2. Methodology

2.1 Research Design and Rationale

This study adopts a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology, which has established itself as a rigorous approach for synthesising empirical evidence across a defined body of literature. Unlike traditional narrative reviews, systematic reviews follow transparent, replicable procedures for searching, selecting, and analysing studies, thereby minimising selection bias and enabling comprehensive coverage of a research domain.

Three principal reasons motivated this methodological choice. First, the rapid proliferation of AI-related publications in e-commerce between 2018 and 2025 necessitates a structured synthesis that goes beyond opportunistic sampling. Second, the heterogeneity of study designs, AI techniques, and commercial contexts across the literature requires a systematic framework for fair cross-study comparison. Third, the SLR approach aligns with the reporting standards required by peer-reviewed journals for review-type submissions (Kitchenham & Charters, 2007).

2.2 Data Sources and Search Strategy

2.2.1 Databases Searched

The literature search was conducted across three major academic databases, selected for their broad coverage of computing, information systems, and e-commerce research:

- Scopus: Elsevier's multidisciplinary database of peer-reviewed journals and conference proceedings.
- Web of Science: Clarivate's citation index, known for its rigorous indexing criteria.
- Google Scholar: Used to supplement coverage of conference papers and open-access publications relevant to the topic.

2.2.2 Search Terms

The search strategy was structured around three conceptual clusters combined using Boolean operators:

Code	Concept Cluster	Example Keywords
C1	E-Commerce	e-commerce, online retail, digital marketplace
C2	Artificial Intelligence	artificial intelligence, machine learning, deep learning, NLP, neural networks
C3	Customer Data Analysis	customer data analysis, customer behavior, sentiment analysis, recommendation system, churn prediction

Combined search string: (C1) AND (C2) AND (C3), with filters applied for language (English), document type (journal articles and conference papers), and publication year (2018–2025).

2.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The following criteria, structured around an adapted PICOS framework, were applied consistently to all retrieved records:

Criterion	Inclusion ✓	Exclusion ✗
Topic	AI applications in customer data analysis within e-commerce contexts	Studies unrelated to e-commerce or not employing AI/ML techniques
Study Type	Peer-reviewed journal articles and conference papers	Books, book chapters, grey literature, blog posts
Publication Period	2018–2025	Studies published before 2018
Language	English	All other languages
Availability	Full text accessible	Abstract-only or inaccessible full text
Source Quality	Indexed in Scopus, Web of Science, or ACM/IEEE proceedings	Non-peer-reviewed sources or journals without editorial oversight

2.4 Study Selection Process

The selection process followed four sequential stages in accordance with the PRISMA 2020 framework (Page et al., 2021):

- Identification: Database searches conducted and all retrieved records compiled.
- Deduplication: Duplicate records identified and removed across databases.
- Title and Abstract Screening: Remaining records screened against inclusion/exclusion criteria based on title and abstract.
- Full-Text Eligibility Assessment: Full texts of candidate studies retrieved and assessed for final inclusion.

The search and selection process yielded the following results at each stage. The initial search across the three databases retrieved 200 records (Scopus: 92; Web of Science: 64; Google Scholar: 44). Following the removal of 38 duplicate records identified across databases, 162 unique records remained for screening. Title and abstract screening, applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria detailed in Section 2.3, excluded 109 records that did not meet the topical, linguistic, or source-type requirements, leaving 53 candidates for full-text assessment. Full-text review, incorporating the quality assessment threshold described in Section 2.5, resulted in the exclusion of a further 38 records — primarily due to insufficient methodological rigour, limited relevance to the five thematic areas, lack of peer review, full-text inaccessibility, or publication outside the defined temporal window — yielding a final corpus of 15 studies included in the thematic synthesis (Section 3).

Table 2.4 — Reasons for Full-Text Exclusion (n = 38)

Reason for Exclusion	Number of Studies
Insufficient methodological rigour / quality score below threshold	14
Limited relevance to the five thematic areas	10
Not peer-reviewed or lacking editorial oversight	6
Full text inaccessible	5
Published outside 2018–2025 window	3
Total	38

2.5 Quality Assessment

To ensure the reliability and relevance of included studies, each paper underwent a quality assessment based on the following criteria:

Quality Criterion	Elaboration
Clarity of research objective and question	Does the study clearly articulate its aim and research question?
Methodological rigour	Are data collection and analysis procedures described adequately?
Verifiability of findings	Are results supported by quantitative or qualitative evidence?
Relevance to the five thematic areas	Does the study address recommendation systems, sentiment analysis, behaviour prediction, customer service automation, or privacy and security?
Publication recency (2018 or later)	Consistent with the defined temporal scope of the review.

Each criterion was scored on a three-point scale: met (2), partially met (1), not met (0). Studies scoring below 6 out of a possible 10 points were excluded from the final synthesis.

2.6 Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data were extracted from all included studies using a standardised extraction form capturing the following elements: bibliographic information (author(s), year, journal/conference, country); type of AI application; algorithms and models employed; dataset characteristics and commercial context; key quantitative performance metrics (accuracy, efficiency, customer satisfaction); and limitations and research gaps identified by the study authors.

Thematic analysis was adopted as the primary synthesis approach, with evidence organised across the five thematic areas identified in the review protocol. Within each theme, findings were synthesised narratively, drawing on the qualitative and quantitative claims reported by the original study authors. Quantitative performance figures (e.g., accuracy improvements, response-time reductions) are reported in Section 3 where the source studies provide them explicitly; however, the heterogeneity of metrics, baselines, and reporting conventions across the included studies — and the fact that only a minority report directly comparable numerical results — precluded a pooled statistical synthesis (e.g., meta-analysis) across the full corpus. This limitation is acknowledged in Section 5.2 and identified as a priority for future research employing more standardised performance reporting (Section 5.4).

2.7 Reliability and Validity Considerations

To mitigate the risk of selection bias, the following measures were adopted throughout the review process: strict application of pre-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria; careful consideration of studies whose findings contradicted prevailing patterns in the literature; and explicit acknowledgement that the primary reliance on English-language publications at this stage represents a scope limitation that the study seeks to address in future iterations by broadening the search to include literature in other languages, particularly Arabic.

3. Thematic Analysis of Literature

Building on the systematic methodology described in Section 2, and following the rigorous application of inclusion and exclusion criteria to all retrieved records, the final corpus comprises fifteen (15) peer-reviewed studies published between 2018 and 2025. Thematic analysis yielded five principal domains of AI application in e-commerce customer data analysis, presented in the subsections below.

3.1 Recommendation Systems

3.1.1 Introduction

Recommendation systems (RS) are a cornerstone of AI-driven customer data analysis in e-commerce, enabling personalized product suggestions that enhance user experience and drive conversion. From traditional collaborative filtering and content-based methods to advanced deep learning, graph-based models, reinforcement learning, and large language models (LLMs), RS have evolved significantly between 2018 and 2024. These systems analyze customer behavior, preferences, and contextual data to deliver real-time, scalable, and trustworthy personalization.

3.1.2 Key Studies and Findings

- Raza et al. (2024) conducted a comprehensive review of RS from 2017–2024, highlighting the transition from classical techniques to deep learning, graph neural networks, reinforcement learning, and LLM-based RS. The study emphasized the need for scalable, real-time, and fairness-aware systems in e-commerce, healthcare, and finance.
- Anand (2024) demonstrated that AI-powered machine learning recommendation engines enable dynamic content adaptation and customized product recommendations, significantly increasing conversion rates and customer loyalty on platforms like Amazon.
- Zhuk & Yatskyi (2024) found that AI and machine learning in e-commerce marketing are heavily used for personalized customer experiences, with recommendation systems being a primary application.
- Debbah & Lagrini (2024) documented the latest advances in deep learning-based recommender systems, showing that neural architectures achieve 15–20% higher accuracy than classical collaborative filtering methods.

3.1.3 Principal Outcomes

- RS now integrate deep learning, graph models, reinforcement learning, and LLMs for superior personalization.
- AI-driven recommendations improve conversion rates, customer loyalty, and operational efficiency.
- Modern RS are context-aware, review-based, and fairness-aware, addressing emerging societal and technological trends.

3.1.4 Research Gaps

- Limited empirical studies on real-time scalability of deep learning-based RS in large-scale e-commerce environments.

- Insufficient research on fairness and bias mitigation in LLM-driven recommenders, especially concerning diverse customer demographics.
- Lack of standardized benchmarks for comparing traditional vs. advanced RS across different e-commerce sectors.

3.2 Sentiment Analysis

3.2.1 Introduction

Sentiment analysis leverages natural language processing (NLP), machine learning (ML), and deep learning (DL) to decode customer sentiments from reviews, social media, and feedback. In e-commerce, it enables businesses to understand customer opinions, improve product offerings, and refine marketing strategies.

3.2.2 Key Studies and Findings

- Shetty & Manjaiah (2024) reviewed sentiment analysis techniques in e-commerce, identifying ML classifiers (e.g., SVM, Random Forest), deep learning models (e.g., CNN, LSTM, BERT), and hybrid approaches as mainstream methods. They highlighted challenges like data noise, slang, and multilingual text.
- Singh & Kumar (2025) reviewed ML and DL approaches for decoding customer sentiments, emphasizing transformer-based models (BERT, RoBERTa) for superior accuracy in sentiment classification.
- Decoding Customer Sentiments (2024) demonstrated that sentiment analysis enables real-time customer feedback monitoring, improving customer satisfaction and product ranking.

3.2.3 Principal Outcomes

- Transformer-based models (BERT, RoBERTa) outperform traditional ML in sentiment classification accuracy.
- Sentiment analysis enables real-time feedback monitoring, enhancing customer satisfaction and product optimization.
- Key challenges include data noise, multilingual text, and slang, requiring advanced preprocessing and robust models.

3.2.4 Research Gaps

- Lack of multilingual sentiment analysis frameworks for global e-commerce platforms serving diverse linguistic communities.
- Limited research on emotion detection (beyond positive/negative/neutral) in customer reviews for nuanced understanding.
- Insufficient studies on real-time sentiment analysis pipelines integrated with e-commerce recommendation systems.

3.3 Customer Behavior Prediction

3.3.1 Introduction

Customer behavior prediction uses AI to forecast purchasing decisions, churn, lifetime value, and browsing patterns by analyzing historical data, demographics, and contextual signals. This enables proactive marketing, personalized promotions, and inventory optimization.

3.3.2 Key Studies and Findings

- Chirinos Sánchez et al. (2025) conducted a systematic review (2018–2024) showing AI significantly improves market segmentation, big data management, and marketing/sales strategy optimization in B2C commerce. AI enables predictive analytics for customer behavior, enhancing decision-making and personalization.
- Gamboa-Cruzado et al. (2024) explored machine learning's influence in e-commerce, highlighting predictive models for customer behavior that optimize marketing campaigns and inventory management.
- Zhuk & Yatskyi (2024) reported that AI/ML in e-commerce marketing is used for personalized customer experiences and predictive analytics for behavior forecasting.

- Anand (2024) emphasized predictive analytics as a key AI tool transforming customer service and enabling proactive marketing.

3.3.3 Principal Outcomes

- AI-driven predictive analytics enhance market segmentation, decision-making, and personalization in B2C sales.
- ML models optimize marketing campaigns, inventory management, and churn prediction.
- Predictive behavior models enable proactive marketing and customized promotions, boosting conversion rates.

3.3.4 Research Gaps

- Limited integration of contextual and real-time data (e.g., location, device, time) in behavior prediction models.
- Insufficient research on cross-platform behavior prediction (e.g., integrating web, mobile, and social media data).
- Lack of ethical frameworks for using predictive behavior models to avoid manipulative marketing practices.

3.4 Customer Service Automation

3.4.1 Introduction

Customer service automation employs AI-powered chatbots, virtual assistants, and natural language processing to deliver 24/7 support, answer queries, resolve issues, and guide purchasing decisions. This reduces operational costs, improves response times, and enhances customer satisfaction.

3.4.2 Key Studies and Findings

- Arshad & Naseeb Khan (2024) conducted a systematic literature review on AI in enhancing CRM activities in e-commerce, finding that AI-powered chatbots and virtual assistants significantly improve customer response times, service accuracy, and CRM efficiency.
- Endra & Veri (2025) performed a systematic review on AI's role in improving customer service in e-commerce, demonstrating that AI chatbots reduce response times by up to 70%, increase customer satisfaction scores, and handle high volumes of queries simultaneously.
- Anand (2024) highlighted that AI-powered chatbots and virtual assistants transform customer service by making it more efficient, responsive, and scalable.
- Chirinos Sánchez et al. (2025) noted that AI automation optimizes marketing and sales strategies, including automated customer support integrated with sales processes.

3.4.3 Principal Outcomes

- AI chatbots reduce response times by up to 70% and handle high query volumes simultaneously.
- Automation improves customer satisfaction scores, service accuracy, and CRM efficiency.
- AI-powered virtual assistants enable 24/7 support, reducing operational costs and improving scalability.

3.4.4 Research Gaps

- Limited research on emotion-aware chatbots that detect and respond to customer frustration or anger.
- Insufficient studies on hybrid human-AI service models that optimally route complex queries to human agents.
- Lack of longitudinal studies on customer trust and satisfaction with AI automation over time.
-

3.5 Privacy and Security

3.5.1 Introduction

Privacy and security are critical challenges in AI-driven customer data analysis. E-commerce platforms handle sensitive customer data (personal information, payment details, behavior logs), making them vulnerable to breaches, misuse, and ethical concerns. AI systems must balance personalization with data protection, compliance (e.g., GDPR), and ethical governance.

3.5.2 Key Studies and Findings

- Chirinos Sánchez et al. (2025) identified ethical management of data use as a key challenge in AI adoption for B2C commerce. They highlighted the need for advanced technological infrastructure, organizational adaptation, and compliance with data protection regulations.
- Anand (2024) addressed challenges including data privacy, algorithm bias, and integration with existing systems as major obstacles in AI-driven personalization.
- Lin (2025) discussed AI challenges in e-commerce, emphasizing data privacy, security vulnerabilities, and the need for robust cybersecurity measures to protect customer data.
- Ayazhan (2025) highlighted privacy concerns in AI applications for e-commerce, noting the risk of data misuse and the importance of transparent data governance.

3.5.3 Principal Outcomes

- Data privacy and ethical management are top challenges in AI adoption for e-commerce.
- AI systems require robust cybersecurity measures and compliance with regulations like GDPR to protect customer data.
- Algorithm bias and lack of transparency in AI decision-making pose ethical risks for customer trust.

3.5.4 Research Gaps

- Limited research on privacy-preserving AI techniques (e.g., federated learning, differential privacy) in e-commerce customer data analysis.
- Insufficient studies on customer consent mechanisms and transparent data governance frameworks for AI-driven personalization.
- Lack of industry-wide standards for ethical AI use in customer data analysis, especially across borders with differing regulations.

3.6 Synthesis Across Themes

The systematic review (2018–2025) reveals that AI is transforming e-commerce customer data analysis through five interconnected themes, summarized in Table 3.6 below.

Table 3.6 — Summary of Themes, Technologies, Benefits, and Challenges

Theme	Key AI Technologies	Main Benefits	Primary Challenges
3.1 Recommendation Systems	Deep learning, LLMs, graph models	Personalization, conversion increase	Scalability, fairness, bias
3.2 Sentiment Analysis	BERT, LSTM, CNN	Real-time feedback, satisfaction	Multilingual data, noise
3.3 Behavior Prediction	ML predictive models	Proactive marketing, churn reduction	Contextual data, ethics
3.4 Service Automation	Chatbots, NLP	24/7 support, cost reduction	Emotion awareness, human-AI routing
3.5 Privacy & Security	Federated learning, encryption	Data protection, compliance	Privacy-preserving AI, consent

4. Discussion

The thematic findings presented in Section 3 converge on three cross-cutting patterns that are not visible when each theme is considered in isolation. This section synthesises these patterns, examines how the five AI application domains interact as a system rather than five separate technologies, and identifies the structural tensions that will shape future adoption.

4.1 Convergent Trends Across the Literature

Across all five themes, the reviewed studies document a consistent technological trajectory: a shift from rule-based and classical statistical methods toward deep learning, graph-based models, and transformer architectures. This pattern recurs independently in recommendation systems (Raza et al., 2024; Debbah & Lagrini, 2024), sentiment analysis (Shetty & Manjaiah, 2024; Singh & Kumar, 2025), and customer service automation (Arshad & Naseeb Khan, 2024; Endra & Veri, 2025), suggesting that this is not a theme-specific trend but a domain-wide maturation of the underlying AI infrastructure available to e-commerce platforms.

At the same time, the literature reveals a structural imbalance in where this maturation is studied. The vast majority of the reviewed studies report findings without specifying non-English or non-Western deployment contexts, and where language is explicitly discussed, it is treated as a technical limitation rather than a structural gap in the evidence base. Shetty and Manjaiah (2024) explicitly identify multilingual text as a persistent challenge for sentiment analysis, and this concern is echoed in the research gaps identified across Themes 1, 2, and 4 (Sections 3.1.4, 3.2.4, 3.4.4). The recurrence of this gap across three independent themes — rather than appearing once in a single study — indicates that linguistic and geographic representativeness is a systemic weakness of the current evidence base, not an isolated oversight.

4.2 Interdependence Between AI Application Domains

A second pattern emerging from the synthesis is that the five themes are not independent technologies but functionally interdependent components of a single customer-analytics pipeline. Sentiment signals extracted through NLP (Theme 3.2) provide input features that strengthen behaviour prediction models (Theme 3.3); behaviour predictions, in turn, inform which customers receive personalised recommendations (Theme 3.1) and which queries are escalated within automated service systems (Theme 3.4). None of the studies reviewed model this interdependence directly — each theme is investigated as a self-contained application — yet the reported outcomes are consistent with a pipeline relationship: studies addressing customer service automation report satisfaction and efficiency gains that are difficult to attribute to chatbot design alone without also crediting the behavioural and sentiment data feeding those systems (Endra & Veri, 2025; Anand, 2024).

This observation points to a methodological blind spot rather than a settled finding: because no reviewed study evaluates an integrated, multi-theme AI architecture empirically, the literature cannot currently confirm whether combining these applications produces compounding benefits or merely additive ones. This is a substantive open question for the field rather than a gap that can be resolved through synthesis alone.

4.3 Privacy and Ethics as a Structural Constraint on Integration

The interdependence described in Section 4.2 carries a direct implication for Theme 3.5. The more tightly recommendation, sentiment, and behavioural systems are integrated, the more customer data must flow between them — which is precisely the condition that the privacy and security literature identifies as highest-risk (Lin, 2025; Ayazhan, 2025). Chirinos Sánchez et al. (2025) frame ethical data management as a prerequisite for AI adoption rather than a downstream compliance step, a framing consistent with the broader pattern in the reviewed literature: privacy is increasingly positioned not as an external constraint imposed on AI

systems, but as a design condition that determines whether deeper system integration is viable at all.

Taken together, Sections 4.1 to 4.3 suggest that the central challenge facing AI in e-commerce customer data analysis is not technical capability — the reviewed studies consistently report strong performance gains across all five themes — but rather the alignment of three currently disconnected research efforts: technical performance optimisation, cross-system integration, and privacy-preserving architecture. The studies reviewed here advance each of these individually; very few address more than one simultaneously.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Summary of Key Findings

This systematic literature review (2018–2025) synthesizes 15 peer-reviewed studies to address the core research question: How is artificial intelligence applied in customer data analysis for e-commerce systems, and what are the outcomes, challenges, and gaps? Building on the thematic analysis in Section 3 and the cross-thematic discussion in Section 4, three conclusions follow directly from the evidence.

First, AI adoption across all five themes has matured from classical statistical and rule-based methods toward deep learning and transformer-based architectures, with this shift independently documented in recommendation systems, sentiment analysis, and customer service automation (Section 4.1). This convergence indicates a domain-wide technological transition rather than isolated, theme-specific progress.

Second, the five application domains function as an interdependent pipeline rather than five separate technologies, with behavioural and sentiment data feeding recommendation and service-automation systems (Section 4.2). However, no study in the reviewed corpus evaluates this integration empirically, leaving the magnitude of any compounding benefit unverified.

Third, privacy and security considerations are structurally linked to the degree of system integration: the more tightly AI applications are combined, the greater the data-sharing risk identified in the literature (Section 4.3). This positions privacy-preserving architecture not as a separate research theme but as a precondition for the deeper integration that Sections 4.1 and 4.2 suggest is the field's next logical step.

Integrated Synthesis: These findings reveal a coherent picture: AI transforms e-commerce through personalization (RS, sentiment analysis), prediction (behavior forecasting), automation (customer service), and protection (privacy/security). The technologies are interdependent — sentiment analysis informs RS, predictive models guide automation, and all require privacy-preserving mechanisms. The detailed performance metrics underlying this synthesis are presented thematically in Section 3 and are not repeated here.

5.2 Technical Gaps

The reviewed studies reveal several critical technical limitations that affect the validity and generalizability of findings:

Table 5.2 — Technical Gaps and Their Impact on Validity

Technical Gap	Description	Impact on Validity
Real-time scalability	Limited empirical studies on deep learning-based RS scalability in large-scale e-commerce environments	Findings may not reflect performance at enterprise scale
Multilingual support	Insufficient multilingual sentiment analysis frameworks for global platforms	Results biased toward English-speaking customers
Contextual data integration	Limited integration of real-time contextual signals (location, device, time) in behavior prediction	Predictive models lack situational accuracy
Cross-platform data fusion	Insufficient research on integrating web, mobile, and social media data	Fragmented customer behavior insights
Privacy-preserving AI	Lack of federated learning and differential privacy implementations in e-commerce	Risk of data breaches undermines trust
Inconsistent metric reporting	Most reviewed studies report performance qualitatively (e.g., "significantly improves") rather than with comparable quantitative metrics; only a minority specify accuracy, percentage gains, or standardised baselines	Prevents pooled statistical synthesis across studies and limits the precision of cross-theme comparisons in this review

These gaps limit the robustness of conclusions, particularly for global, large-scale, and privacy-sensitive e-commerce applications. The final row also constitutes a limitation of the present review's own synthesis: because comparable quantitative metrics are reported in only a subset of the included studies, the numerical findings cited in Section 3 (e.g., response-time reductions, accuracy gains) should be read as illustrative figures drawn from individual studies rather than as a validated meta-analytic estimate across the full corpus.

5.3 Ethical and Regulatory Gaps

Significant ethical and regulatory voids exist in current AI applications for e-commerce customer data analysis.

Ethical Gaps:

- Algorithm bias and fairness: LLM-driven recommenders lack fairness-aware mechanisms, risking discriminatory outcomes for diverse demographics. Anand (2024) explicitly identified algorithm bias as a major obstacle.
- Manipulative marketing: No ethical frameworks prevent predictive behavior models from enabling manipulative personalization.
- Transparency: Lack of explainable AI (XAI) in decision-making reduces customer trust.

Regulatory Gaps:

- Cross-border compliance: Absence of industry-wide standards for AI ethics across jurisdictions with differing regulations (e.g., GDPR vs. non-EU laws).
- Customer consent mechanisms: Insufficient research on transparent data governance and opt-in frameworks for AI-driven personalization.
- Accountability: No clear legal frameworks for liability when AI systems cause harm (e.g., biased recommendations, privacy breaches).

Practical Implementation Challenges:

- Organizations struggle to balance personalization with compliance, often delaying AI adoption due to regulatory uncertainty.
- Lack of standardized audit mechanisms for AI ethics compliance hinders regulatory enforcement.

5.4 Methodological Gaps

Critical weaknesses in research methodologies limit the reliability and comparability of findings:

Table 5.4 — Methodological Gaps, Descriptions, and Proposed Improvements

Methodological Gap	Description	Proposed Improvement
Lack of standardized benchmarks	No unified metrics for comparing traditional vs. advanced RS across e-commerce sectors	Develop sector-specific benchmark datasets and evaluation protocols
Inconsistent quantitative reporting	Most studies report outcomes qualitatively rather than with standardised, comparable performance metrics, preventing pooled or meta-analytic synthesis across the corpus	Adopt common reporting templates (e.g., accuracy, percentage change, baseline comparison) across AI e-commerce research
Limited longitudinal studies	Absence of long-term studies on customer trust/satisfaction with AI automation over time	Conduct 1–3 year longitudinal tracking of AI deployment impacts
Predominance of review studies	Many studies are systematic reviews rather than empirical validations	Increase primary empirical studies with real e-commerce data
Small sample sizes	Limited empirical studies with large-scale enterprise data	Collaborate with e-commerce platforms for access to production data
Cross-sectional designs	Most behavior prediction studies use static datasets rather than real-time streams	Implement streaming data pipelines for dynamic model training
Missing control groups	Few studies include control groups to isolate AI effects from other variables	Use randomized controlled trials (RCTs) for causal inference

5.5 Recommendations for Future Research

Based on the identified gaps, the following specific, actionable research directions are proposed:

5.5.1 Privacy-Preserving AI Techniques

- Investigate federated learning and differential privacy for e-commerce customer data analysis to enable personalization without centralizing sensitive data.
- Develop privacy-by-design frameworks integrating AI with GDPR/CCPA compliance from the outset.

5.5.2 Fairness-Aware and Explainable Recommendation Systems

- Build fairness-aware RS that detect and mitigate bias in LLM-driven recommendations across demographics.

- Create explainable AI (XAI) interfaces for RS to enhance customer trust through transparent decision explanations.

5.5.3 Emotion-Aware Customer Service Automation

- Develop emotion detection chatbots that identify frustration/anger and adapt responses accordingly.
- Design hybrid human-AI routing models that optimally escalate complex queries to human agents.

5.5.4 Multilingual and Cross-Platform AI Solutions

- Create multilingual sentiment analysis frameworks for global e-commerce platforms serving diverse linguistic communities.
- Build cross-platform behavior prediction models integrating web, mobile, and social media data streams.

5.5.5 Real-Time and Scalable AI Architectures

- Engineer real-time RS pipelines using streaming data (e.g., Apache Kafka) for instant personalization.
- Conduct large-scale enterprise trials of deep learning RS to validate scalability claims.

5.5.6 Ethical Governance and Regulatory Frameworks

- Establish industry-wide AI ethics standards for customer data analysis across borders.
- Develop customer consent mechanisms with transparent data governance dashboards.
- Create longitudinal studies tracking AI impacts on trust, satisfaction, and fairness over 1–3 years.

5.5.7 Methodological Improvements

- Adopt randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to isolate AI effects from confounding variables.
- Develop sector-specific benchmark datasets for RS, sentiment analysis, and behavior prediction.
- Increase empirical primary studies with production e-commerce data rather than review-only analyses.

5.6 Implications for Practitioners and Academics

5.6.1 For Practitioners (E-commerce Managers, Data Scientists, CRM Teams)

Practical Applications:

- Deploy AI-powered chatbots to reduce response times by 70% and handle high query volumes, freeing human agents for complex issues.
- Implement transformer-based sentiment analysis (BERT/RoBERTa) for real-time feedback monitoring to improve customer satisfaction and product rankings.
- Use predictive analytics for churn prevention and personalized promotions, optimizing marketing campaigns and inventory management.
- Adopt fairness-aware RS to avoid discriminatory recommendations and maintain customer trust.
- Integrate privacy-preserving techniques (e.g., federated learning) to comply with GDPR while enabling personalization.

Expected Benefits:

- Operational efficiency: 70% faster response times, reduced labor costs.
- Revenue growth: Higher conversion rates through personalized recommendations.
- Customer loyalty: Improved satisfaction scores and retention.
- Risk mitigation: Compliance with regulations, reduced breach risks.

5.6.2 For Academics (Researchers, PhD Students, University Labs)

Research Opportunities:

- Fill technical gaps in real-time scalability, multilingual support, and cross-platform fusion.

- Address ethical voids by developing fairness-aware algorithms and explainable AI frameworks.
- Improve methodologies through RCTs, longitudinal studies, and large-scale empirical validations.
- Create benchmark datasets for standardized comparison across studies.

Theoretical Contributions:

- Extend AI adoption models (e.g., TAM, DOI) to include privacy, fairness, and transparency as critical factors.
- Develop new frameworks for ethical AI governance in e-commerce customer data analysis.
- Integrate interdisciplinary insights from computer science, ethics, law, and behavioral economics.

5.7 Final Remarks

This systematic literature review (2018–2025) provides a comprehensive, updated framework for understanding how artificial intelligence transforms customer data analysis in e-commerce systems. By synthesizing 15 peer-reviewed studies across five interconnected themes — recommendation systems, sentiment analysis, customer behavior prediction, customer service automation, and privacy/security — this study answers the core research question with empirical clarity and theoretical depth.

The central contribution is threefold:

- Empirical synthesis: AI-driven personalization, prediction, and automation deliver measurable improvements (70% faster response times, higher conversion rates, enhanced satisfaction) while revealing critical technical, ethical, and methodological gaps.
- Thematic framework: An integrated model shows how RS, sentiment analysis, predictive analytics, automation, and privacy mechanisms interact to form a cohesive AI ecosystem for e-commerce.
- Research agenda: Seven priority directions for future research — from privacy-preserving AI to fairness-aware RS — provide a roadmap for academics and practitioners.

Limitations acknowledged: This review relies on available peer-reviewed studies, which are predominantly review-based rather than empirical; global multilingual contexts are underrepresented; and real-time scalability remains unvalidated at enterprise scale.

Closing statement: As e-commerce platforms increasingly rely on AI for customer data analysis, the findings, framework, and recommendations presented here offer a critical foundation for advancing both technological innovation and ethical governance. The future of AI in e-commerce lies not merely in smarter algorithms, but in building trustworthy, fair, and privacy-preserving systems that empower customers while driving business value.

References:

1. Agboola, O. A., Ogbuefi, E., Abayomi, A. A., Ogeawuchi, J. C., Akpe, O.-E. E., & Owoade, S. (2025). Systematic review of AI-driven data integration for e-commerce customer analytics. *MultiResearch Journal*, 2023.3.6, 4245. <https://www.multiresearchjournal.com/arclist/list-2023.3.6/id-4245>
2. Anand. (2024). Leveraging artificial intelligence for enhanced personalization and customer experience in e-commerce. *American Scientific Journal*, 12(7), 10512. https://asrjetsjournal.org/American_Scientific_Journal/article/view/10512

3. Arshad, O., & Naseeb Khan, S. (2024). Exploring the role of artificial intelligence in enhancing CRM activities within e-commerce: A systematic literature review. In MCIS 2024 Proceedings (Article 28). AIS Network. <https://aisel.aisnet.org/mcis2024/28>
4. Ayazhan, O. (2025). Application of artificial intelligence in e-commerce. Eurasian Science Review, 12(3), 45–58. <https://eurasia-science.org/index.php/pub/article/view/604>
5. Chirinos Sánchez, L., Condori, D., & Arnao, R. (2025). Impact of artificial intelligence on the business-to-customer sales processes: A systematic review. LACCEI Proceedings, 13(2), 234–248. <https://proceedings.laccei.org/index.php/laccei/article/view/4089>
6. Debbah, A., & Lagrini, S. (2024). Latest advances in deep learning based recommender systems. International Journal of Reasoning-based Intelligent Systems, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJRIS.2024.10062538>
7. Decoding customer sentiments in e-commerce: Techniques and applications. (2024). International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science (IJAERS), 11(8), 22–29. https://ijaers.com/uploads/issue_files/7IJAERS-08202422-Decoding.pdf
8. Endra, S., & Veri, J. (2025). Systematic literature review: Peran artificial intelligence dalam meningkatkan layanan pelanggan pada e-commerce. Indo-Fintech Intellectuals: Journal of Economics and Business, 5(3), 6304–6316. <https://doi.org/10.54373/ifijeb.v5i3.3699>
9. Gamboa-Cruzado, J., Mosqueira-Cerda, T., Torre Camones, A., Quispe Mendoza, R., Navarro Raymundo, A. F., Jiménez García, J., & López-Ramírez, B. C. (2024). Exploring the influence of machine learning in e-commerce. Cybernetics and Systems, 15(1), 89–102. <https://cys.cic.ipn.mx/index.php/CyS/article/viewFile/5749/3939>
10. Kitchenham, B., & Charters, S. (2007). Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering (Technical Report EBSE-2007-01). Keele University.
11. Lin, Y. (2025). Artificial intelligence in e-commerce: Applications, challenges and future trends. Frontiers in Electronics, 6(4), 1162. <https://lsee.net/index.php/fe/article/view/1162>
12. Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., & Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
13. Raza, S., Rahman, M., Kamawal, S., Toroghi, A., Raval, A., Navah, F., & Kazemeini, A. (2024). A comprehensive review of recommender systems: Transitioning from theory to practice (arXiv Preprint No. 2407.13699). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2407.13699>
14. Shetty, A. M., & Manjaiah, D. H. (2024). Analyzing sentiments in e-commerce: Techniques, applications and challenges. International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 12(2), 2307–2320. <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2024.12.2.0843>
15. Singh, B., & Kumar, V. (2025). Decoding customer sentiments in e-commerce: A review of machine learning and deep learning approaches. International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science, 11(9), 55–66. <https://doi.org/10.22161/ijaers.119.7>
16. Zhuk, A., & Yatskyi, O. (2023). A bibliometric study from 1995 to 2023 using artificial intelligence and e-commerce keywords. Digital Library Journal, 18(2), 123–145. <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/descarga/articulo/9426482.pdf>
17. Zhuk, A., & Yatskyi, O. (2024). The use of artificial intelligence and machine learning in e-commerce marketing. Technology Audit and Production Reserves, 3(4(77)), 33–38. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/baq/taprar/v3y2024i4p33-38.html>
18. Alnnale, T. (2026). From Reactive to Proactive Governance: A Hybrid LSTM–Gradient Boosting Architecture for Real-Time Anomaly Signal Detection in Multi-Store Retail Supply Chain Decision Systems. *Al-Farooq Journal of Sciences*, 2(1), 987–1005. <https://afjs.histr.edu.ly/index.php/afjs/article/view/116>